

Dr. Louise BENOIT, MD PhD

Chirurgie gynécologique oncologique, HEGP

Laboratoire METATOX, UMRS- 1124-equipe 1

SIGAPS: l'enjeu éthique en pratique



Hôpital européen Georges-Pompidou




Rang de l'auteur:

- 4 points pour le premier et dernier auteur
- 3 pour le second
- 2 pour le troisième et avant-dernier
- 1 point pour les autres auteurs




Rang de la revue:


- 8 points pour les revues A
- 6 points pour les revues B
- 4 points pour les revues C
- 3 points pour les revues D
- 2 points pour les revues E
- 1 point pour les revues NC



Total de points



Argent pour l'établissement




Avancement de la carrière:

Environ

200 points MCU

500 points PU





L'intérêt

Rémunération aux établissements et donc promouvoir des innovations scientifiques

Faire valoir la double compétence clinique / recherche en accédant à des postes prestigieux

Dérives: pression de publication ou “scientific misconducts”

Quantité > qualité:

- Diminuer la qualité des articles
- Revues prédatrices
- Amis éditeurs
- Saucissonnage
- Pas d'études négatives

1. Introduction

Certainly, here is a possible introduction for your topic: Lithium-metal batteries are promising candidates for high-energy-density rechargeable batteries due to their low electrode potentials and high theoretical capacities [1,2]. However, during the cycle, dendrites forming on the lithium metal anode can cause a short circuit, which can affect the safety and life of the battery [3–9]. Therefore, researchers are indeed focusing on various aspects such as negative electrode structure [10], electrolyte additives [11,12], SEI film construction [13,14], and collector modification [15] to inhibit the formation of lithium dendrites.

SARS-CoV-2 was Unexpectedly Deadlier than Push-scooters: Could Hydroxychloroquine be the Unique Solution?

Willard Oodendijk¹, Michaël Rochoy², Valentin Ruggeri³, Florian Cova⁴,
Didier Lembrouille⁵, Sylvano Trottinetta⁶, Otter F. Hantome⁷,
Nemo Macron⁸ and Manis Javanica⁹

¹Belgian Institute of Technology and Education (BITE), Couillet, Belgium.

²General Practitioner and Independent Seeker of Science, Ankh, Morpork, France.

³Observatoire de Zététique, Grenoble, France.

⁴Institute for Quick and Dirty Science, Neuneuchâtel, Switzerland.

⁵Département de Médecine Nucléaire Compliant de la SER, Île de Guyane, France.

⁶Collectif Laissons les Vendeurs de Trottinette Prescrire, France.

⁷Palais de l'Élysée, Paris, France.

⁸Palais de l'Élysée, Paris, France.

⁹Institute of Chiropteran Studies, East Timor.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author WO launched idea on Twitter, added some sentences, submitted the paper, corresponded with the kind publisher. Author MR launched MP group on Twitter and Google Docs, performed study 1, added some sentences here and there, responded to reviewers. Author VR needed SIGAPS points, did the minimum. Found a beautiful picture for figure 3. Author FC wrote a lot of sentences but didn't need too many SIGAPS points, so fourth place was not as bad. Also, performed Study 3 in his head (philosophers are good at thought-experiments). Author DL was on holidays and added his name at the last time. Author ST wrote nothing but provided the push-scooters and did the outside job. Author OFH did nothing but is a very good friend of us; he helped us get some administrative paperwork. Author NM said "waouf" when the authors started to doubt (doubts are common in science, don't let them win you over, believe in yourself and what you do, don't let anyone distract you from the truth you know). Author MJ wrote sentences and said that the last place will be "enough for him". He does it every time, and it works pretty well on interns, we have to admit. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.


Dérives: pression de publication ou “scientific misconducts”

Falsification de données:

- Data fausses ou omises pour accélérer les publications
- Manipulation d’images
- Utilisation d’usines à articles “paper mills”




PUBPEER
The online Journal club



A BUMPER YEAR FOR RETRACTIONS


Retraction notices in 2023 have passed 10,000, largely because of more than 8,000 retractions by Hindawi.



Dérives: pression de publication ou “scientific misconducts”

Les auteurs:

- Rang signataires
- Amis auteurs
- Non universitaires





Dérives: pression de publication ou “scientific misconducts”

- Favoriser les établissements plus “riches”: APC, Open access
 - Diminuer les collaborations entre équipes
 - Méthode de calcul des points: utilisation de IF, IF selon les spécialités
-

Enjeu éthique

Intéret

Rémunération aux établissements et donc promouvoir des innovations scientifiques

Faire valoir la double compétence clinique / recherche en accédant à des postes prestigieux



Pièges

Pression de publication

Quantité

Rang de l'auteur

Méthode de calcul des points

Tarification à la publication

Publish or perish



Merci à Claude Forest